The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was $\underline{\text{not}}$ written for publication and is $\underline{\text{not}}$ binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 22

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte CARL T. HARDIN,
JAMES E. PETRANOVICH, KUMAR BALACHANDRAN
and
ANDREW WRIGHT

Appeal No. 2001-0950 Application 08/534,855

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An examination of the file reveals that an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed April 24, 1997 (Paper No. 6½). It is not apparent from the record whether the examiner considered the statement submitted or notified appellants of why their submission did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying appellants of the Primary Examiner's decision is required.

Appeal No. 2001-0950 Application 08/534,855

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for consideration of the IDS, appropriate notification to appellants, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By:					
	DALE SHAW				
	Progr	ram	and	Resource	Administrator
	(703) 308-9797				

DS:psb

cc: McDermott, Will & Emery
600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3096